UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/665,907	09/18/2003	Joshua J. Bennett	NM 7611 7074		
	590 03/06/200 SERVICES CORPOR	EXAMINER			
c/o JOHN H. TH	OMAS, P.C.	NGUYEN, TAM M			
536 GRANITE A RICHMOND, VA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•		1764			
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MON	THS	03/06/2007	PAPÉR		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/665,907		BENNETT ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Tam M. Ngu	yen	1764				
Davis d 6	The MAILING DATE of this commu			correspondence addi	ress			
Period fo	• •		·					
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Faill Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN Insions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come to period for reply is specified above, the maximum size to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF THIS us of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event imunication. statutory period will apply and will a usually will, by statute, cause the applica	S COMMUNICATIO, however, may a reply be till xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tition to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this com ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	000 07 07 17 04(b).							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 20 February 2007						
		2b)⊠ This action is nor		•				
3)	Since this application is in condition	n for allowance except fo	r formal matters, pre	osecution as to the r	nerits is			
	closed in accordance with the pract	tice under <i>Ex parte Qua</i> j	/le, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-53 is/are pending in the	application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-53 is/are		eration.					
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restri	iction and/or election req	uirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e: a) accepted or b) □	objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is required	if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR	t 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	to by the Examiner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form PTO)-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	n for foreign priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
				ed in this National S	tage			
* 0	application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action	•	` ''					
	occ the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the certifie	a copies not receive	eu.				
Attachmen								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🛛 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Notice of Informal F					
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date @####,8/g/05,2/13/07.	5-14-04 6)					
J.S. Patent and T PTOL-326 (R		Office Action Summary	Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date	20070301			

Application/Control Number: 10/665,907

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cunningham et al. (EP-0457589).

Cunningham discloses a fuel (e.g., diesel fuel) comprising about 1 ppm of sulfur, about 250-10,000 ppm of added organic nitrate combustion improver (e.g., 2-ethylhexyl nitrate), and other additives such as corrosion inhibitors. It is noted that Cunningham does not specifically disclose that the adding of the nitrate improver would reduce the amount of peroxides. However, the Cunningham fuel is the essentially the same as the claimed fuel and a similar amount of 2-ethylhexyl nitrate was added into the fuel. It would be expected that peroxides would reduce in the fuel as claimed. (See abstract; page 4, lines 40, 44-453; Table).

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Henly Timothy (EP-1321504 A2).

Page 3

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Henly discloses a fuel (e.g., diesel fuel) comprising about 5 ppm of sulfur and about 100-10,000 ppm of added organic nitrate combustion improver (e.g., 2-ethylhexyl nitrate). It is noted that Cunningham does not specifically disclose that adding the nitrate improver into the fuel would reduce the amount of peroxides. However, the Henly fuel is the essentially the same as the claimed fuel and a similar amount of 2-ethylhexyl nitrate was added into the fuel. It would be expected that peroxides would reduce in the fuel as claimed. (See abstract; page 2, lines 12-17; page 3, lines 5-45; page 4, lines 35-46).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/665,907

Art Unit: 1764

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Henly et al. (EP 1321504) in view of Cunningham et al. (EP 0457 589)

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in

Art Unit: 1764

accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(1)(1) and § 706.02(1)(2).

Henly discloses a fuel (e.g., diesel fuel) comprising about 5 ppm of sulfur and about 100-10,000 ppm of added organic nitrate combustion improver (e.g., 2-ethylhexyl nitrate). It is noted that Cunningham does not specifically disclose that adding the nitrate improver into the fuel would reduce the amount of peroxides. However, the Henly fuel is the essentially the same as the claimed fuel and a similar amount of 2-ethylhexyl nitrate was added into the fuel. It would be expected that peroxides would reduce in the fuel as claimed. (See abstract; page 2, lines 12-17; page 3, lines 5-45; page 4, lines 35-46).

Henly does not specifically disclose that the fuel further comprises other additives.

Cunningham discloses a fuel as comprising other additives such as corrosion inhibitors.

(See page 4, lines 49-53)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the process of Henly by adding other additives to the fuel as taught by Cunningham because such additives would enhance the fuel performance.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tam M. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1452. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,907

Art Unit: 1764

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tam M. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 1764

TN